# RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

JUL 1 8 2005



Matrix Semiconductor, Inc. 3230 Scott Boulevard Santa Clara, California 95054 Telephone 408.969.4848 Facsimile 408.969.4849

July 18, 2005

Time: 9.25 AM POT

(Santa Clara, California)

To: Commissioner for Patents

Attn: Responses to Office Actions

Patent Examining Corps

Facsimile Center P.O.Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

From: Pamela J. Squyres Attorney Docket: MA-108 Phone: 408-869-2921

### FAX NUMBER 571-273-8300

Re: Patent Application of: James Cleeves et al.	Examiner: Ginette Peralta
Serial No.: 10/681,509	Group Art Unit: 2814
Filed: October 7, 2003	Attorney Docket No.: MA-108
Title: Uniform Seeding to Control Grain and Defect Density of Crystallized Silicon for Use in Sub- Micron Thin Film Transistors	

### Document(s) Transmitted:

Response to Restriction Requirement (2 pages)

Total pages of this transmission, including the cover letter: 3 If you do not receive all of the pages described above, please telephone us at 408-869-2921 or fax us at 408-969-4849.

I hereby certify that this paper is being transmitted by facsimile to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on the date shown below.

Pamela J. Squyres

Date of Transmission\_

### p.2

JUL 1 8 2005

I hereby certify that this paper is being transmitted by facsimile to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on the date shown below:

Pamela J. Squyres

Printed Name

Signature

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant(s): James M. Cleeves

Application No.: 10/681,509

Filed: 10/07/03

Title: Uniform Seeding to Control

Grain and Defect Density of Crystallized

Silicon for Use in Sub-Micron Thin Film

Transistors

Attorney Docket No.: MA-108

Group Art Unit: 2814

Examiner: Ginette Peralta

Commissioner for Patents

PO Box 1450 Alexandria VA 22313-1450 July 18, 2005

## RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

### To the Commissioner:

In the Restriction Requirement mailed June 29, 2005, Applicants were directed to elect between the claims of Group I (claims 1-5) drawn to a semiconductor die, and the claims of Group II (claims 7-62), drawn to a method for crystallizing a layer. Applicant elects to pursue the method claims of Group II, with traverse.

App. No. 10/681,509

Jul 18 05 09:29a

408 969 4849

р. З

The Examiner maintains that these inventions are distinct because the product (Group I) could be manufactured by a materially different process that may include implanting the crystallizing agent and annealing or a plasma treatment. Applicants don't dispute that implanting a crystallizing agent and annealing or performing a plasma treatment may form a die having a crystallized silicon layer. There are additional requirements in the invention of Group I, however, relating to adjacent nucleation sites and degree of crystallization. Applicants traverse the requirement for restriction on the grounds that the crystallized layer of the Group I claims, which includes the additional restrictions regarding adjacent nucleation sites and the specified number of grain boundaries, can only be formed using the methods of Group II.

If there are any questions concerning this Response, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned agent at (408) 869-2921.

Dated: July 18, 2005

Respectfully submitted,

Pamela J. Squyres Agent for Applicants Reg. No. 52,246

Matrix Semiconductor 3230 Scott Blvd Santa Clara, CA 95054 Tel. 408-869-2921